Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 9 MAY 2005 PCT/EP2003/010458

# **PCT**



## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

anslation		PCT			
<b>•</b> •	INTERNATIO	NAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMIN	ATION REP	ORT
		(PCT Article 36 an	d Rule 70)		
Applicant's or agent's 1		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notific	cation of Tra Examination Rep	nsmittal of Internat
International application No. PCT/EP2003/010458		International filing date (day/ 19 September 2003 (19	month/year)	Priority date (a	lay/month/year) per 2002 (07.11.20
International Patent Cla B62D 1/18	ssification (IPC) or nat	ional classification and IPC			( )
Applicant		DAIMLERCHRYSL	ER AG		
and is transmit	ted to the applicant acco	ation report has been prepared ording to Article 36.  4 sheets, includi			ary Examining Authori
This rep amended 70.16 ar	ort is also accompanied d and are the basis for the ad Section 607 of the Ad	by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets onto this report and/or sheets contain drainistrative Instructions under the content of sheets.	of the description	n claims and/or	drawings which have to this Authority (see )
3. This report con	tains indications relatin	g to the following items:			
ı 🔀	Basis of the report				
	Priority				
п					
п		opinion with regard to novelt	y, inventive ste	p and industrial	applicability
ш 🗌	Lack of unity of invent	tion			
ш 🗌	Lack of unity of invent		to novelty in		
ш 🗌	Lack of unity of invent	tion ader Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemen	to novelty in		
ш   rv   v	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cite	tion ader Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemen	to novelty in		
ш   гv   v   vi	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in	tion der Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemen	l to novelty, invit		
	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the in	tion  Ider Article 35(2) with regard  ons supporting such statement  d  nternational application	l to novelty, invit		
	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incertain observations of	tion  Ider Article 35(2) with regard  ons supporting such statement  od  International application  In the international application	l to novelty, invit	ventive step or in	
III	Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cite Certain defects in the incertain observations of	tion  der Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemen  d  meternational application  n the international application  Date o	to novelty, invit	ventive step or in	dustrial applicability;
III	Lack of unity of inventing Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the incertain observations of the demand oril 2004 (23.04.200)	tion  der Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemented  and anternational application  an the international application  Date o	to novelty, invit	ventive step or in	dustrial applicability;
III	Lack of unity of inventing Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the incertain observations of the demand oril 2004 (23.04.200)	der Article 35(2) with regard ons supporting such statemented  International application In the international application  Date of the Dat	to novelty, invit	ventive step or in	dustrial applicability;

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

## INTERNATIONAL PREMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internal application No.
PCT/EP2003/010458

I. Bas	is of the repo	t	
1. Wit	th regard to the	elements of the international application:*	
		ional application as originally filed	
	the descrip		
-	pages		
	pages	1-7	, as originally filed
1	pages	E1.3 1/1 1 1 1 A	, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of	
	the claims:		
	pages	2-6	, as originally filed
	pages	, as amended (together	er with any statement under Article 19
i	pages	1	, filed with the demand
		, filed with the letter of	09 November 2004 (09.11.2004)
	the drawing	s:	
	pages	1/3-3/3	, as originally filed
	pages		
	pages	, filed with the letter of	
	the sequence	isting part of the description:	
	pages	•	
	pages		
	pages	, filed with the letter of	, filed with the demand
Thes	the language the language or 55.3).  In regard to a minary examination of furnished surfurnished surfurnished surfurnational	e of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Ruse of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  e of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary my nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: the international application in written form.  It with the international application in computer readable form.  Desequently to this Authority in written form.  Desequently to this Authority in computer readable form.  That the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not application as filed has been furnished.  That the information recorded in computer readable form is identical.	which is: ule 23.1(b)).  v examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ tional application, the international  go beyond the disclosure in the
4.	The amendment the determinant	ents have resulted in the cancellation of: scription, pagesaims, Nosawings, sheets/fig	to the written sequence fishing has
5.	This report has beyond the di	s been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sin sclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	
and 7	0.17).	which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitatoriginally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not	contain amendments (Rule 70.16
Any re	epiacement she	et containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annex	ed to this report.

### INTERNATIONAL PRE INARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Interpolal	application No.
PC1,_P	03/10458

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
  - 1 Reference is made to the following document:

D1: DE-A-36 34 977.

2 Document D1 is considered to be the closest prior art for the subject matter of claim 1. D1 discloses (the references in parentheses are to D1):

a motor vehicle steering column comprising a bracket fixed to the vehicle and a steering column tube which can be adjusted for tilt relative to the bracket fixed to the vehicle, by means of an adjusting device, the adjusting device comprising a lever mounted on the bracket so as to swivel, said lever being displaceable about a pivoting axis by means of a drive device, a deflecting yoke which is pivotably connected to the steering column tube being articulated to the output end of the lever.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs therefore from D1 in that the lever can be deflected about its pivoting axis by means of a reciprocating movement at a driving end, equalization between the movement of the input end and of the output end being provided.

The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3 The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of allowing greater tilt adjustment of the motor vehicle steering column.

The solution to this problem as proposed in claim 1 of the present application involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons: according to column 9, lines 50 to 55, a person skilled in the art would solve the problem by increasing the length of the lever. A person skilled in the art would therefore not resort to a reciprocating movement of the lever.